

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,589	01/27/2004	Takaaki Shimada	2038-323	8968	
22429	7590 03/13/2006	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN AND BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD			EVANS, CHIVONNE LAURIE		
SUITE 300 /			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDI	RIA, VA 22314		3761		
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/13/2004	ς.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/764,589	SHIMADA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Chivonne L. Evans	3761				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	he correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABANI	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 D</u>	ecember 2005.					
′=	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	e <b>r</b> .					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ceived in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea		noise d				
~ ``	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re-	servea.				
Attachmer		🗖	,				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) fail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	a. 🗀	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Раре	er No(s)/Mail Date	o) 🗀 Other					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-4 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly 2. anticipated by Yamamoto et al. (EP 0761193). With regards to claims 1-4 and 7, Yamamoto teaches an absorbent article of pants type that comprising a laminated panel with liquid permeable topsheet, liquid impermeable bottom sheet with a core panel disposed in between as well as front waist, rear waist and crotch regions (Column 2, lines 21 -31 and Figure 1). Yamamoto also teaches that there are first (around waist region), second (around the leg openings) and third (crosses upper end of core panel in the crotch region and the second elastic means) elastic means. (Column 2, lines 31 – 48 and Figure 1, 2, and 3), whereas the elastic means are bonded between the top and backsheet (Column 5, lines 55-58 and Column 6, lines 42-48). With regards to claim 6 of the application, the elastic means taught by Yamamoto also have a free portion that extend along the side panels of the front 17 and rear waist 18 region and a fixed portion which are welded together which at side edges 20a and 20b of Figure 1. Whereas the freed portion being where the elastic means are not welded to side edges 20a and 20b.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/764,589 Page 3

Art Unit: 3761

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al. (EP 0761193) in view of Yamamoto et al. (EP 0761194). Yamamoto et al. (EP 0761193) teaches the invention substantially as claimed except for the third inner layer which the leg surrounding elastics are separated from the second and third elastic means as claimed by the applicant. Yamamoto et al. (EP 0761194) teaches a crotch region structure with a crotch section topsheet and crotch section bottom sheet in which the leg elastics (Figure 3,15) are disposed between and an outer sheet and a back sheet in which the waist elastic members 13 and 14 of Figure 1 are disposed between, (Column 4, 10-55) to allow separate stretching means around the leg and waist openings. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Yamamoto et al. (EP 0761193) with an additional sheet layer to provide individual stretching capability of the leg and waist openings according to the movement of the wearer of the article, as taught by Yamamoto et al. (EP 0761194).

### **Response to Arguments**

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/06/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Newly submitted claims 8-20 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: claims 1-7 and claims 8-20 would be related as combination and subcombination.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3761

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the elastic members will still function, by contracting and expanding without the claimed middle portion. The subcombination has separate utility such as securing elastic elements between top and bottom sheets of stretchable material.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 8-20 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

With regards to the applicants argument:

- a. The applicant argues that the free portion of elastic members is not clarified.
- 6. The examiner has determined that the freed portions of the elastic means as disclosed above, is the portion of the means where there is no welding connecting the elastic members to the side edges as seen in Figure 1 where Yamamoto has a free portion that extend along the side panels of the front 17 and rear waist 18 region and a fixed portion which are welded together which at side edges 20a and 20b of Figure 1.

Art Unit: 3761

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chivonne L. Evans whose telephone number is 571-272-8686. The examiner can normally be reached on between 6:30-3:30, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,589

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3761

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Chivonne L Evans

Examiner Art Unit 3761

ce

SUPERVISORY FOR A SUPERVISORY